

Decision Maker: Environment Portfolio Holder

**For Pre-decision scrutiny by the Environment PDS
Committee on 19th July 2011**

Date: 19 July 2011

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Executive Non-Key

Title: BUDGET MONITORING 2011/12

Contact Officer: Claire Martin, Head of Finance
Tel: 020 8313 4286 E-mail: claire.martin@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Nigel Davies, Director of Environmental Services

Ward: Boroughwide

1. Reason for report

This report provides an update of the latest budget monitoring position for 2011/12 for the Environment Portfolio based on expenditure and activity levels up to 31st May 2011. This shows a balanced budget.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 The Portfolio Holder is requested to endorse the latest 2011/12 budget projection for the Environment Portfolio.

Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing policy. Sound financial management
 2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council.
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: N/A
 2. Ongoing costs: Recurring cost.
 3. Budget head/performance centre: All Environment Portfolio Budgets
 4. Total current budget for this head: £43.5m
 5. Source of funding: Existing revenue budgets 2011/12
-

Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 221ftes
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: N/A
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Statutory requirement. The statutory duties relating to financial reporting are covered within the Local Government Act 1972; the Local Government Finance Act 1998; the Accounts and Audit Regulations 1996; the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government Act 2002
 2. Call-in: Call-in is applicable
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): The services covered in this report affect all Council Taxpayers, Business Ratepayers, those who owe general income to the Council, all staff, Members and Pensioners.
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? N/A.
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 The 2011/12 projected outturn is detailed in Appendix 1, with a forecast of projected spend for each division compared to the latest approved budget and identifies in full the reason for any variances.
- 3.2 Costs attributable to individual services have been classified as “controllable” and “non-controllable” in Appendix 1. Budget holders have full responsibility for those budgets classified as “controllable” as any variations relate to those factors over which the budget holder has, in general, direct control. “Non-controllable” budgets are those which are managed outside of individual budget holder’s service and, as such, cannot be directly influenced by the budget holder in the shorter term. These include, for example, building maintenance costs and property rents which are managed by the Property Division but are allocated within individual departmental/portfolio budgets to reflect the full cost of the service. As such, any variations arising are shown as “non-controllable” within services but “controllable” within the Resources Portfolio. Other examples include cross departmental recharges and capital financing costs. This approach, which is reflected in financial monitoring reports to budget holders, should ensure clearer accountability by identifying variations within the service that controls financial performance. Members should specifically refer to the “controllable” budget variations relating to portfolios in considering financial performance. These variations will include the costs related to the recession.

4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The Resources Portfolio Plan includes the aim of effective monitoring and control of expenditure within budget and includes the target that each service department will spend within its own budget.
- 4.2 Bromley’s Best Value Performance Plan “Making a Difference” refers to the Council’s intention to remain amongst the lowest Council Tax levels in outer London and the importance of greater focus on priorities.
- 4.3 The four year financial forecast report highlights the financial pressures facing the Council. It remains imperative that strict budgetary control continues to be exercised in 2011/12 to minimise the risk of compounding financial pressures in future years.
- 4.4 Chief Officers and Departmental Heads of Finance are continuing to place emphasis on the need for strict compliance with the Council’s budgetary control and monitoring arrangements.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The controllable budget for the Environment Portfolio is projected to be balanced by the year end, although there are some major variations within some areas.
- 5.2 Parking services is projected to generate a net shortfall of income of £100k and is being offset by savings of £50k as a result of management action and £50k provision no longer required.
- 5.3 As a result of increasing trade waste collection prices by over 10% for the last two years there has been a reduction in customers of just below 10%. This has meant that income projections are £90k below budget. There has been a corresponding reduction in contract costs and waste disposal costs of Cr £70k to partly offset the shortfall. The balance of Dr £20k is being met by a small reduction in other disposal tonnage costs Cr £20k.
- 5.4 A more detailed explanation of the variances is attached in Appendix 1.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Legal, Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	2011/12 budget monitoring files within ES finance section